



Quid Novi

Vol. XIII, No. 18

MCGILL UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITE MCGILL FACULTE DE DROITMarch 8, 1993
le 8 mars, 1993

A GLIMPSE BEHIND THE POWER

By Brian Fell (no label, thanks)

Following a recent hockey game, Danny "The Jet" Jutras asked me when the next instalment of the Brian report would be published. I said "Huh?" He said he was for more stories of law students' adventures in South America and Australia etc. Well, I've been meaning to write. At least then I could avoid that huge, open-ended question that I've been getting recently: "How was Australia?"

But that will have to wait because there are more important things to be written. This week I submit the first in a series of my interviews with the power figures in the faculty. since I believe in starting with my best player, this week I speak of Harold. If any of you doubt the power that Harold yields here, consider that the law library remained open recently when

the whole university closed for a snow storm. And while it's true that Harold once lost an LSA election to Toby, one mustn't forget that Toby is no ordinary dog. He's probably the first one to clerk at the S.C.C.

However, I believe that one can only grasp the true essence of Harold in person. Words on paper fail to transmit the aura. With that caveat in mind, let's get on with

HAROLD: The Story behind the Legend

Harold, what's your favourite colour? (I always use this one to break the ice)

Blue. (Hmm, this is gonna be tough)
Where are you from?

Here, in Montreal.

How long have you been working at

McGill?

Since 1973.

Always in the law library?

Yeah, always here.

What other jobs have you held?

Oh, I worked several years in an accounting firm. In the '50s I worked for an advertising firms. I don't remember the name now. It was at 1408 or 1406 Bleury. It's been dead a long time now.

What are your burning goals or ambitions in life?

I don't really have any.

Could you describe your impressions of law students at McGill?

Before, students were a lot crazier. They were really wild. They'd have parties 2 or 3 times a week. I couldn't handle them. They were driving me nuts. There were 3 guys, Willie, Henry, and Steve. Henry (confusion in reporter's notes, could be Willie) used to chase mea-

Cont'd on p. 4

OTHER LAW SCHOOLS TALK ABOUT LAW GAMES

By Jay Sinha, LLB II

Between January 27 and 31, 1993, about 2000 law students from across Canada (let the world take note that 164 of these were McGillites who, under the direction of their fearless, inspirational and sometimes voiceless leader Marie-Josée Legault, won the award for Sports Excellence overall) gathered in Sherbrooke, Quebec to indulge in something which has become a legal tradition unlike most others. The 25th annual Law Games/Jeux Ridiques were hosted by the University of Sherbrooke Law Faculty

which showed a flair for true spirit of superb organization. Contrary to the popular belief of most who do not attend, the Law Games are much more than just a four day cocktail/dance party. While the Bacchan influence is there, the level of sport engaged in is high and, in the finals especially, the action often becomes intensely competitive.

For me the best part of Law Games is the mix of people and the atmosphere they create. Here is a unique chance to meet law students from across the country in a setting which breaks down social, politi-

Cont'd on p. 4

In this Issue Dans ce numéro

Announcements

p. 2

NAFTA

p. 2

Student Protection

p. 3

Elections

p. 6

MAR 11 1993
LAW LIBRARY

ANNOUNCEMENTS

TAKE CARE OF THE COMMON ROOM - The Common Room is the most beautiful and traditional room in the Faculty. Unfortunately, it has been damaged and is deteriorating at an abnormal rate. Let's take pride in it and take care of it. If we don't, we may not be able to use it for much longer.

PRIZES AND SCHOLARSHIPS - If you wish to be considered for one of the awards offered by the Faculty, please pick up a **Prizes and Scholarships** form from the Student Affairs Office.

LAWYERS FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY - presents a public forum on NAFTA on Wednesday, March 10 in room 201 of the faculty of law. The invited speakers will be Duncan Cameron, Professor of political science at Ottawa University, Pierre Paquette, Secretary General of the CSN and Mario Rojas, former opposition member of the Mexican Parliament.

McGILL LAW JOURNAL/ REVUE DE DROIT DE MCGILL - will host its Ninth Annual McGill Law Journal Alumni Lecture on Wednesday, March 10, 1993 at 7:00 p.m. in the Moot Court. The

speaker will be Mr. Justice John C. Major of the Supreme Court of Canada.

FELLOW STUDENTS - It has recently come to our attention that students have been jamming the coin collection mechanism on the pool table in the basement of OCDH to obtain free games. Also, recently, someone broke into the coin collector box and stole its contents. The cost of repairing the pool table was \$400.

If you steal from the pool table or don't pay for your game, you are actually stealing from you fellow students since monies collected from our "arcade" go toward supporting student activities in our faculty.

Furthermore, if these losses continue, the company that places the pool table in our faculty on consignment will clearly have a good reason to remove it, thereby depriving us of between-class entertainment. This company also supplies our big screen television and if they were to remove the pool table, we can't help but wonder what might happen to our beloved four-foot screen.

We trust anyone playing pool from now on will pay their games and will not vandalize the machine. Thank you for your cooperation. The Facilities Committee.

EXPERIENCE FIRST-HAND THE DAY-TO-DAY PRACTICE OF LAW! - The "Shadows Program" seeks to match students with legal professionals working in an area of their interest, to give them the opportunity to observe lawyers and judges in the daily practice of law, and to make contact with practitioners already established in their careers. A number of lawyers in all areas of practice, and judges of the Quebec bench, have volunteered to share a half day to a full day of their practice, and to act as 'big sister' or 'big brother'. This is a unique opportunity to gain some insight into the challenges and rewards of the legal profession, out of the context of hiring pressures.

Information packages and sign-up are available at the Clubs Bulletin Board by the Cafeteria. Sponsored by Women and the Law.

FORUM NATIONAL PRESENTS - Louise Fréchette, Canadian Ambassador to the United Nations. She will be speaking about the role of the United Nations in the International Legal Order and Canada's role therein. Her speech will take place on Friday, March 12 at 13:30 p.m. in the Moot Court. All members of the faculty are encouraged to attend since this is a rare opportunity to hear and ask questions about the United Nations which has recently become a more important player in the international arena.

NAFTA: MORE OF THE SAME ON THE HORIZON, OR WHAT?

By Moray Welch, LLBIII
Lawyers for Social Responsibility

NAFTA will soon be introduced into the house of commons. The opposition promises a fight. But judging by the resolve that the conservative government has shown over unemployment insurance, it is likely to be passed even before we see the back of Mulroney sometime in June. What will it mean for working people in Quebec, in Canada? If the results of the last deal are anything to go by, it is unlikely that the vast majority of Canadians will see any benefit. Even the Quebec business community, the same one which once unconditionally embraced the free trade deal, seems

strangely cautious about NAFTA. Could they somehow have lost their blind faith in the free market to deliver the goods?

In any event, the ideologues in Ottawa don't seem prepared to listen to them, let alone to the complaints of unions and community groups about declining living standards and increasing numbers of poor and unemployed. The last deal was supposed to bring prosperity and jobs. We were supposed to have adjustment programs to cushion the shock of liberalisation. Instead, we have the highest unemployment rate in the G7 while each new budget brings more cuts to existing social programs.

Despite the evidence, the very same rhetoric which surrounded the free trade deal continues to cloud the issues around NAFTA. What in fact can we expect from the new deal? More of the same? It is hard to come to conclusions when the contents of the deal remain a mystery to all but trade lawyers. To help shed some light on the subject, the Lawyers for Social Responsibility invites you to attend a public forum where, on Wednesday, March 10 in Room 201 of NCDH, Duncan Cameron, Pierre Paquette and Mario Rojas will present a critical assessment of the deal and outline some of the courses of action open to those concerned.

Cont'd on p. 3

Quid Novi is published weekly by students at the Faculty of Law of McGill University, 3644 Peel Street, Montreal, H3A 1W9. Production is made possible by support of the Dean's office and by direct funding from the students. Les opinions exprimées sont propres à l'auteur. Toute contribution n'est pas publiée qu'à la discrétion du comité de rédaction et doit indiquer l'auteur ou son origine.

Rédactrice en chef/Editor-in-chief:
Maaike de Bie

Directeur artistique/Artistic Director:
Denis Guénette

Directrice administrative/Administration Director:
Caroline Thomassin

Directeurs de l'information/Newsdirectors:
Nancy Girard and Paul Moen

Directrice des relations inter-universitaires/

Director for Inter-university relations:
Alexandra Ghespie

Rédacteurs/Editors:
David Abitbol, Paul Brown, Harry Dikranian, Greg Moore, Andreas Santer, Jay Sinha and Marie-France St-Amand

Production:
David Abitbol, Harry Dikranian, Josie Duan, Denis Guénette, Marie-France St-Amand, Andreas Santer, Jay Sinha

STUDENT PROTECTION ETUDI- ANTE

By Joshua Fireman, VP Civil.

Le lundi, 15 février, à la réunion "Rencontrez les doyens", certains étudiants et étudiantes ont soulevé la question de la sécurité dans la faculté. La plus grande inquiétude portait sur les mesures sécuritaires après les heures "de pointe" dans la faculté, soit après 18:00 et la fin de semaine. Deux étudiantes en particulier ont été accostées dans la salle de télévision par un exhibitionniste il y a quelques semaines.

Le Doyen Morissette a agi rapidement, proposant lui-même à la réunion de former un comité-conjoint entre l'administration et l'AED, dans le but d'étudier le problème et de formuler des solutions pour y remédier.

Ceci dit, l'AED a adopté une résolution nommant 4 étudiant(e)s à ce comité. On prévoit que le comité se réunira pour la première fois avant la mi-mars. Dans le but de trouver les meilleures solutions possibles, l'AED compte sur votre participation; toutes suggestions et recommandations seront les bienvenues. Vous pourrez les faire parvenir à ce comité soit en les déposant dans la boîte du Vice-président de droit civil, ou simplement en téléphonant le 398-6966.

Security is a major concern for students throughout McGill. For students concerned about their security within the university campus, a valuable new tool, in the form of the 1992 McGill Safety Audit Report, is now available.

The Safety Audit examines faculty and

administration buildings, McLennan and Redpath libraries, Physical Plant, the Eaton Building, the Faculty Club, the residences, Redpath Museum, the Currie Complex, the Powell student Services Building, the homes of the student societies and Martlet House. Lighting, signage, security and patrol, access schedules, key/locking systems, maintenance, emergency phones, re-design, mirrors, wheelchair access, pay phones, isolated and dark areas, safety information and first aid supplies.

Our very own Chancellor Day Hall (new and old) was covered by the audit, which yielded the following results:

Outdoor audit:

Observations:

- *There is no lighting.
- *There is no adequate signage. Directional signs or maps, location of emergency assistance, wheelchair access and building hours are not provided.
- *The pathway to the south end of the building and the south doorway leading to the cafeteria are unsafe.
- *In the evening and at night the area is isolated. Emergency assistance is not closely nor easily available and the area is not patrolled.
- *There are potential hiding places, such as behind the steps and at the rear of the entrance to OCDH.
- *The design of the access doors is poor, making night access unpredictable.

Recommendations:

- *Lighting should be provided. Floodlights covering the entrances to both buildings, the surrounding area and the south side pathway should be installed.

Indoor audit:

Observations:

- *There are some rooms in OCDH that should be locked.
- *Some areas of the building need permanent lighting. Light switches are not visible and the area they control is not identified.

Recommendations:

- *Light switches should be properly located and identified. In women's washrooms and in business locker area light switches should have a key-lock.
- *Emergency phones should be installed and properly indicated.
- *Custodial staff's routine and doors' locking hours should be posted (cleaning schedule and approximate whereabouts). Staff should check computer room before locking the doors to ensure that no students are left behind.
- *The library staff should be conscious of safety problems. After 6:00 p.m. they should make a tour of the library every hour.
- *Surveillance cameras should be installed at the library entrance, near basement lockers and other isolated areas.
- *Closets, especially those in OCDH should be kept locked.
- *Overall signage should be improved: Library direction, washrooms, Moot Court, cafeteria, building maps, etc.

A copy of the entire *Safety Audit* is on reserve in the library. All students who move around the campus in non-peak hours ought to take a look at the report and note where they should move with caution. Until changes take place, students' most important weapon is and will continue to be a knowledge of the campus and its potentially dangerous nooks and crannies.

Cont'd from p. 2

Duncan Cameron is professor of political science at the University of Ottawa and director of the Canadian Center for Policy Alternatives. He is associated with the Action Canada Network. Pierre Paquette is secretary general of the Confédération des syndicats nationaux

(CSN). He has been a lecturer in economics at UQUAM and works with la Coalition québécoise sur les négociations trilatérales (CQNT). The two speakers will elaborate on the positions of their respective groups. Mario Rojas is a former opposition member of the Mexican parliament. He was forced

to flee the country in fear of his life after having spoken out about the killing of foreign journalists. He will present NAFTA from a Mexican perspective. The conference will last about two hours and adequate time will be allotted for questions.

Cont'd from p. 1

round the library. He'd come right behind the desk and grab me and wouldn't let me go. somebody told me that Willie (see reporter's note, above) gave up law. He couldn't handle it. Last I heard, he was working in his Dad's candy business.

So they were a wild bunch, eh Harold?

Yeah, but before the students did a lot more studying than now. The library was nearly full all the time. Now it's nearly empty almost every night. And there's more students registered now, too. The students now are... how can I say?... gentler... more conservative...

You seem to be groping for words, Harold. Maybe I can help. Would you say that students now are more boring?

Well... maybe.

So, you say that before, students were wilder, more socially active, and studied harder, while today they're more boring and yet work less. In other words, before, students both partied and worked harder. Or maybe I shouldn't be putting words in your mouth.

Maybe.

OK, let's move on. Are there any particular student stories you can relate?

Well, there was this one grad student and, well you know how they have their

own offices, he brought a different girl into the library and down to his office every night. It was never the same one twice. He was a really good looking guy, though.

Gee Harold, I think that some of our readership would be interested in this guy (note non-sexist reporting). Do you remember his name?

Uhhh, one of the other librarians might remember.

Any other good stories?

Well, about 7-10 years ago there was this crazy guy here. He seldom talked, except to ask me the time, which he did a lot. He never studied, and I never saw him sit down. He just walked around the library like a zombie. He scared all the students. They couldn't study. He got thrown out, eventually.

Was he actually enrolled here?

Hmm, I don't really know.

Have there been any exciting stories? You know, bomb threats and stuff like that?

There were a couple of bomb threats. The police and fire department came but never found anything.

Getting away from work, what are your pastimes? You know, stamp collecting, bungee jumping etc.

I used to collect stamps.

Is there anything about your life that you think would surprise the students?

Well...

(Note: for the next 20 hours, Harold led me through a nether-worldly vortex of information on power structures in McGill, Canada, and the world, and how they worked together. Over the following days, McGill security, CSIS, CIA, MI-5, the KGB (you thought it was dead, didn't you?), and various death squads convinced me that this information would not be of interest to Quid readers and thus, have been omitted.)

Stay tuned. Possible future interviews are with Aude, The SAO staff, J. Fireman, Mme Allaire, and Dominic. Suggestions of other subjects and/or questions welcome. This reporter also offers to conduct on-location interviews with Rick Jones, Toby, and Gisèle Quashnock. Lobby the powerful-yet stingy Quid board of directors to grant me the necessary subsidies to undertake these important projects.

(Suggestion by the powerful Quid board: try the even more powerful LSA).

Cont'd from p. 1

cal and geographic barriers that might otherwise prevent such fervent openness. If one takes the time and initiative to meet some of the people outside of one's own school one can learn a lot about other law schools and, more interestingly, about a slice of Canada's future human power structure.

During the closing banquet/awards ceremony/bash I interviewed a few participants from different schools. Be warned, these are the first interviews I have ever done and after the opening question of "What are your general impressions of this year's Law Games up to this point?" there were no set questions and hardly a speck of organized continuity.

Mary Cappicanello - Osgoode Hall

Opening Question: Loved Law Games.

Had a great time. Thought Sherbrooke did a great job. Met a lot of great people, Jay is one of them [she actually did say this, I've censored nothing: this was followed by the interviewer's embarrassed laughter]. Great parties and great sports. Everybody got there on time and yet great night life. Little city, but who cares.

[This was a short one because at this point Mary's table got called for the buffet].

Doug Smith - Queen's University

Opening Question: Well, it's been great. This is my third one and I don't know if this one is as fun as Dalhousie but it's definitely better than Western's. Very well organized. They've just thought of everything. It's a great time. It's the only reason I go to law school.

Me: Oh really?

Doug: Pretty well.

Me: Do you notice any differences between the common and civil law students?

Doug: Yeah, the civil law students are a lot younger and they have more spirit, and to tell you the truth I like that better.

Me: Does it make you feel younger?

Doug: Well, no I'm just pretty rowdy, I like to have a good time. I just wish our schools (common law schools) had more spirit.

Josée Amer - Université d'Ottawa (civil)

Première question: J'ai trouvé quel'organisation est tres bonne. L'ambiance était bien. Et puis, j'espère qu'on va gagner le prix de spirit.

Moi: Qu'est-ce-que c'est la chose la plus frappante/amusante/interessante de ces

Cont'd on p. 5

Cont'd from p. 4

Jeux Ridiques qui va rester dans ton esprit? (question 2)

Josée: Comme j'ai dit, l'organisation. Les parties qui a eu ici [Sherbrooke avait transformé un grand entrepot pour trois des soirées]. C'est grand. La décoration est super. Je pense que tous les organisateurs ont donné une belle effort.

Moi: Est-ce que tu es ici surtout par les sports ou la vie sociale? (question 3)

Josée: Pour la party, pas les sports.

Marco - Université de Quebec à Montréal

Première question: Cette année je ne peux pas vraiment le comparer avec les années précédentes parce que j'y vais pas, mais cette c'est super. Ça va aller vraiment le cool.

Moi: Question 2.

Marco: Le plus amusante, l'est l'ambiance.

Moi: Ah oui, c'est quoi l'ambiance?

Marco: C'est un ambiance qui est un éclat. Je ne peux vraiment comment puis-je dire...

Moi: Question 3.

Marco: Les deux.

Moi: Est-ce que tu trouve que c'est intéressant de rencontrer les gens de partout?

Marco: Oui mais c'est surtout ça le but.

Andrea Morrison - (former McGill Law Student, graduated 1992) École du Barreau de Montréal

Her opening comment: I'm the only anglophone in a group of 40 and proud of it.

Me: and the only McGillite?

Andrea: Jacques Neatby was supposed to come but he had to study, uh, he had to work so he couldn't come.

Opening Question: This is my last chance so I took it. It's fun, I mean what can you say about a Law Games, it's tons and tons of people. The main thing is that I'm getting to know people from the Barreau, I really only socialize with them. These are people I see every day and I know them but not really well. Oh, there goes another one [a person from the Barreau walks by and waves]. He's wearing an Osgoode T-shirt. They swapped T-

shirts. I think the Osgoode guy only swapped it because he must have washed it in the wrong cycle. The green was running into the white. I think that's why he swapped it. Osgoode people are such utilitarians aren't they? [laughter]. It's fun with the bar because we're only 40 people. [Andrea is harassed by a McGill student who recognizes her] Hey, excuse me, I'm being interviewed by the press. McGill Student: Anything you say can and will be used against you in a court of law.

Andrea: Yeah, Yeah, Yeah. Fucking right. Damn right. Shit Bull shit. You can quote me.

Me: I will.

Andrea: It's fun because for someone from McGill, like "McGill on the Hill". That's what it is. We're really isolated from the rest of the Québec community of lawyers. Bar school is a chance to get more integrated if you plan on staying. I figured this is an opportunity to get to know people I'm going to be seeing the rest of my life if I stay in Montréal.

Me: Do you miss McGill?

Andrea [looks at me strangely]: I don't miss being a University student. My 4th year at McGill, much as I loved some of the topics I was studying, I was fed up with the format. Unfortunately, bar school is 100 times worse than any university class because it is all mechanical. The teaching methods reminds me of grade 2 in 1962. It's the same basic principles. You get a workbook and you fill it in and the teacher just looks at it to make sure it's like everybody else's. There is no creativity. I warn people. Expect excruciating boredom unless you're a real keener for procedure. Mechanical procedure.

Me: Do you have any advice for McGill students about to start the Barreau?

Andrea: Enjoy the people. And go in the afternoon because it's great 5 to 7. The morning people are much more sober.

Praveen Datta - Dalhousie University

Opening question: This year has been great because the French students especially are very hospitable. They're very excitable. They're just a great bunch of

people to be around.

Me: Question 2.

Praveen: You're asking some tough question. Ha ha ha.

Me: I'm trying to keep away from yes-no answers.

Praveen: I think the last night is always the most interesting because you're finally talking to lots of other people and everyone is going nuts trading. It's kind of the wrap up night. Towards the end people are saying well we'll see you next year or whenever.

Me: How do you like law in general?

Praveen: I don't know. I'm not so sure about that.

Me: Do you want to be a lawyer?

Praveen: Sometimes. Sometimes I'm not so sure. It seems to be a bit of a self serving system in that I think there are better ways to arbitrate things than the adversarial system. Especially our rates. They're set so that clients must pay a certain amount, aside from Legal Aid which there isn't enough of. We limit the access of people who can enter the legal system and then everyone; no matter what kind of dispute it is, must work within that system regardless. I think there are better ways of doing it but the whole social structure would have to change which isn't going to happen.

Me: Do you plan to stay in Halifax when you graduate?

Praveen: No, maybe a small, rural area of Ontario or the B.C. coast. Having been in Nova Scotia all my life I'd like to try somewhere new.

Me: How do you feel about your job prospects?

Praveen: Well, our interviews are starting now and the whole "go to the firm reception" and so on. And it's all these guys, the Old-Boys-Club sitting around, especially in Nova Scotia, firms, I find, are very unopen to anyone who is not the typical, you know, everything you learn in public law, you know, the typical male, white, heterosexual, blah, blah, blah. Anything apart from that and they're kind of wary. Constantly telling people in interviews "we don't want part time lawyers". Whose going to be a part time

Cont'd on p. 6

Cont'd from p. 5

lawyer? Most likely a woman who decides to have a family. so they're basically saying at the reception "We don't want women". so I'm sitting there in the firm thinking "This is not a firm I want to work with". It's just crazy.

Me: Do you see any change or the possibility for it?

Praveen: Slowly, very slowly. Not as quickly as it should be. Maybe by the time that I would become a partner things might start to change. If I could ever become a partner in a Nova Scotia firm with the ideals that they have right now. That's one of the big problems I have with law. There's too much letting go of who you are, and I don't want to do that.

Brigitte Lucie - Université de Sherbrooke

Première question: Sincèrement je qu'on a vraiment faite une bonne job et qu'on a impressionné beaucoup de monde parce qu'on était vraiment bien organisé.

Moi: Est-ce que tu es membre de l'équipe de l'organisation?

Brigitte: J'étais benevole. Je n'ai pas organisé. Mais il y a beaucoup de monde qui a contribué a ça. Il y a une équipe de organisateurs qui ont été exceptionnelles qui ont travaillé pendant un an. Et je pense qu'on doit être reconnaissant à ce moment là parce qu'ils ont travaillé à se dévouer pour nous autres et pour vous autres et puis pour toutes les universités pour qu'on espère que vous ayez beaucoup de plaisir.

Moi: Est-ce que tu vas aller l'année prochaine?

Brigitte: J'espère en tout cas parce que moi j'ai pas vraiment un Law Games parce qu'on a quand même aider beaucoup les organisateurs. Et donc, j'ai envie d'aller l'année prochaine et faire la partie et voir vraiment c'est quoi là. Faire la partie pendant quatre jours et être sous et pas dormir. J'ai pas dormir mais je n'étais pas sous pendant les quatre jours.

Moi: Qu'est-ce que tu pense du droit?

Brigitte: J'aime vraiment mes cours. Je pense que je suis à bonne place. Je ne sais pas. Je vais savoir par pratiquer. Mais je pense que c'est une base que la plupart du

monde devraient avoir parce que c'est le droit ça dirige en quelques sortes la société pour savoir, le monde pour qui'ils peuvent profiter d'autres monde, les uns et les autres. Moi, je ne sais pas vraiment où je m'en aller soit en administration, soit en droit mais je pense probablement en droit.

Moi: Est-ce que tu voulais toujours faire le droit?

Brigitte: J'ai toujours aimer le droit mais j'ai jamais penser qu ma décision serait de finir en droit. J'ai bien aimer l'administration. J'ai pris toute ma CEGEP en administration. Et puis la dernière minute, j'ai dit j'aimerais peut-être faire le droit. J'étais accepté. Je suis allée en droit et ne ne le regrette pas.

Moi: Où est-ce que tu voudrais pratiquer?

Brigitte: Probablement à Montréal.

Nadine Powell - University of Toronto

Opening question: They're amazing. They're the best ones I've been to in all 3 years. I think the sports were well organized and they are one of my favorite things about them. I mean, like, I don't want to just come and drink. I played soccer and hockey and it's all just been very well organized. I like the fact that everything is open until 3 a.m.

Me: Question 2.

Nadine: There are shooters everywhere. Other U of T student: Oh that's bad, that's weak. come on Nadine. It's the flora and the fauna.

Nadine: Maybe it's the different culture too. Maybe, it's because we're in Québec. I've met a lot of Québec students and it's been a really outgoing Law Games.

Me: What differences do you notice between the Civil and the Common law schools?

Nadine: Civil schools are fun. They're younger, they have more spirit. I don't know if that's an age thing or a cultural thing. We were talking about it yesterday. I can remember when I used to play ringette whenever we played Québec teams they had all these songs and cheers. They're just always up.

Me: So no regrets about coming?

Nadine: Nooooo. I'm going to take a

week off next year, and come.

Judging from the above impressions I think it's safe to say that his year's Law Games were an unbridled success. Merci Marie-Jo et merci Sherbrooke.

ELECTIONS ELECTIONS

On MARCH 25, 1993 (25 MARS), elections will be held for the following LSA positions:

- * President of the LSA
- * Vice-President, Administration
- * Vice-President, Civil Law
- * Vice-President, Common Law
- * Vice-President, External
- * Vice-President, Financea
- * Social Coordinator
- * Class Presidents for LLB II and LLB III
- * Class Presidents for BCL II and BCL III
- * Class President for Nat'l IV
- * LSA Representative to the Senate of the University
- * Four (4) Student Members of the Faculty Council
- * Athletic Coordinator.

Les personnes intéressées sont invitées à se présenter à une réunion avec le Président des Elections (Philippe de Grandpré, Nat'l IV), à midi trente (12h30), mercredi le 17 mars, en salle 101 du NCDH. This meeting is compulsory for all candidates.

The opening date for nominations will be March 17, and the closing date, March 19 at 5h00 p.m. The campaigning period for all positions listed above will last from Monday, March 22 until Wednesday, March 24 at 11h00 p.m.

If you have any further questions concerning these matters, please leave a message in my box in the LSA office, and I will get back to you as soon as possible. Please keep in mind that many answers will be given at the meeting of candidates on March 17. Thank you for your coop-